1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM THE AUTOMATIC STAY

THE COURT having reviewed the briefs filed by the respective parties, having considered the oral argument, and having reviewed all other pleadings before it, rules as follows:

1	The Secured Creditor has not provided evidence to the court of a diminution in property value
2	pursuant to the In Re: Timbers case, warranting on Order Vacating Stay.
3	The Secured Creditor has not convinced the court that a proposed monthly payment of ".25" of the
4	value of the subject property does not cover any diminution in value while waiting for a decision on a loan
5	modification request.
6	The Secured Creditor has not convinced the court that a monthly payment of ".25" of the subject
7	property's value necessarily denotes an improbability of successful reorganization inasmuch as the decision
8	of whether or not a loan modification is granted is in the hands of the Secured Creditor.
9	The Court further holds that a plan that proposes to pay a monthly payment of ".25" of the subject
10	property's value is not on its face a plan proposed in violation of 11 U.S.C. 1322(b)(2).
11	Other findings made by the Court are found on the record and incorporated herein.
12	THEREFORE, the Secured Creditor's motion for relief from the automatic stay is denied withou
13	prejudice.
14	DATED this 4th day of December, 2009.
15	
16	Submitted by: WILDE & ASSOCIATES
17	
18	By /S/GREGORY L. WILDE
19	GREGORY L. WILDE, ESQ. Attorney for Secured Creditor
20	208 South Jones Boulevard Las Vegas, Nevada 89107
21	APPROVED / DISAPPROVED APPROVED / DISAPPROVED
22	
23	ByBy
24	Christopher Griffin Haines and Krieger Rick A. Yarnall
25	Attorney for Debtor(s) Trustee
26	
	1

1 2 3 4 5 6	ALTERNATIVE METHOD RE: LOCAL RULE 9021: In accordance with Local Rule 9021, the undersigned counsel certifies as follows (check one): The court waived the requirements of LR 9021 No parties appeared or filed written objections, and there is no trustee appointed in the casex_ I have delivered a copy of this proposed order to all counsel who appeared at the hearing, any unrepresented parties who appeared at the hearing, and any trustee appointed in this case, and each has approved or disapproved the order, or failed to respond, as indicated below (list each party and whether the party has approved, disapproved, or failed to respond to the document):
7 8	(List Parties) Debtor's counsel:
9	approved the form of this order disapproved the form of this order
10	waived the right to review the order and/orx_ failed to respond to the document
11	appeared at the hearing, waived the right to review the order
12	matter unopposed, did not appear at the hearing, waived the right to review the order
13	Trustee:
14	approved the form of this order disapproved the form of this order
15	waived the right to review the order and/orx_ failed to respond to the document
16	Other Party:
17	approved the form of this order disapproved the form of this order
18	waived the right to review the order and/or failed to respond to the document
19	Breach Order:
20	This is an Order Vacating the Stay after the Failure to cure a Declaration of Breach. Copies of
21	this proposed order were transmitted to Debtor's counsel and appointed trustee to which
22	they have not replied
23	
24	Submitted by:
25	<u>/s/ Gregory L. Wilde, Esq.</u> Gregory L. Wilde, Esq.
26	Attorney for Secured Creditor
	1